APPLYING INDIRECT COST RATES TO FEDERAL PASS THROUGH AND STATE AWARDS



Indirect Cost Rates
Carol A Kraus, CPA
Sean Berberet
Grant Accountability and Transparency Unit

Welcome

Indirect Cost Rates- How to apply indirect cost rates to Federal Pass-through and State of Illinois awards.

Adobe Connect reminder

- Training seminar will be recorded
- Q & A Pods
- Listen Only Mode
- Handouts and presentation

Terminology Clarifications

"FEDERAL AWARDING AGENCY"

- 2 CFR 200.37
- A Federal Awarding Agency means the Federal Agency that provides a Federal award directly to a non-federal entity.
- Health and Human Services (HHS), Department of Agriculture,
 Department of Labor (DOL).

Terminology Clarifications

"AGENCY"

- State of Illinois Awarding Agency
- A State of Illinois Agency that receives Federal awards directly from Federal Awarding agencies and then passes Federal awards and State awards through to organizations or non-federal entities in the community
- DHS, DCFS, Aging, Agriculture, DCEO, ISBE, DPH, etc.

Terminology Clarifications

"GRANTEE"

- An organization that receives Federal pass-through and state awards from a State of Illinois awarding Agency.
- Grantee, Organization, Subrecipient, Awardee, Non-federal entity,
 Provider, Client, Non-profit, Health Department, Charity, Coalition, etc.

This training is designed to provide an understanding of how certain indirect cost rates and distribution bases are applied to Federal pass-through and State of Illinois awards

This training is also designed to provide an understanding of Federal restrictions that may prohibit or limit the amount of indirect cost reimbursement.

This particular training will primarily cover issues regarding Indirect Cost Rates for Non-profits

What is an indirect cost rate?

An indirect cost rate is simply a device used for determining the appropriate amount of indirect costs each program should bear.

An indirect cost rate is the ratio between the total indirect expenses and some direct cost base.

$$\frac{Indirect\ Cost\ Pool}{Direct\ Cost\ Base} = Indirect\ Cost\ Rate\ \%$$

Why does an organization need an indirect cost rate?

The OMB Uniform Guidance explicitly requires pass-through entities (typically states and local governments receiving federal funding) and all federal agencies to reimburse a nonprofit's indirect costs by applying the nonprofit's federally negotiated indirect cost rate, if one already exists.

<u>If a negotiated rate does not yet exist</u>, then nonprofits are empowered to request negotiating a rate or elect the default rate or de minimis of 10 percent of their modified total direct costs (MTDC).

Why does an organization need an indirect cost rate?

An organization must have a negotiated indirect cost rate or elect to use the Federal 10% de minimis rate in order to be reimbursed for <u>any</u> indirect costs within a program.

What options are available for a Grantee to receive an Indirect cost rate?

- Current Federally Approved Indirect Cost Rate as a result of being a direct "recipient" from a Federal awarding agency
- Negotiate a rate with the State of Illinois by first submitting an Indirect Cost Rate Proposal (ICRP) to the State of Illinois' Centralized Indirect Cost Unit
- Elect to use the Federal 10%"de minimis" rate of Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC)
- 4. Use a "restricted' or "special" rate that is statutorily required within program rules.

How can a Grantee claim indirect cost reimbursement while a Indirect Cost rate is be negotiated with the State of Illinois' Centralized Indirect Cost Unit?

If eligible, the Grantee can elect to use the 10% de minimis rate to claim indirect costs while a proposal is being reviewed and negotiated.

Once a negotiated rate is approved and accepted by both the Grantee and its Cognizant State Agency it can be used immediately and the de minimis rate will be vacated

✓ If a Grantee is <u>NOT</u> eligible to elect the de minimis rate, the Grantee will not be allowed reimbursement of indirect costs until a State of Illinois Indirect Cost rate is approved.

Today's Examples

- Federal NICRA- Provisional 16.90% TDC
- 2. State NICRA Provisional 15% SWF
- 3. De Minimis (MTDC) -10% (MTDC)
- 4. Restricted Rate 8% Administrative

Confused yet?



What information does an approved indirect cost rate agreement contain?

The document reflecting the cognizant agency's approval of a recipient's indirect cost rate will detail:

- The approved indirect cost rate(s), including the type of rate(s), the rate effective period, and distribution base to be used;
- Either an approved fringe benefit rate, or a determination that fringe benefits will be treated as direct and/or indirect costs;
- General terms and conditions; and
- Any special remarks (e.g., composition of the indirect cost pool).

NICRA Review

- Must have a current "effective period"
- These will be compiled within the State of Illinois' Centralized Indirect Cost Rate Unit

Mar. 24. 2009 9:42AM DIVISION OF COST ALLOCATION

No. 2270 P. 3/5

STATE AND LOCAL RATE AGREEMENT

EIN #:

DEPARTMENT/AGENCY: Utah Department of Health Martha Hughes Cannon Building 288 North 1460 West P.O. Box 144003 Salt Lake City DATE: March 23, 2009

FILING REF.: The preceding Agreement was dated January 29, 2008

The rates approved in this agreement are for use on grants, contracts and other agreements with the Federal Government, subject to the conditions in Section III.

SECTION		T COST RATE	ES*			
RATE T	PES: FIXED	FINAL	PROV. (1	ROVISI	ONAL)	PRED. (PREDETERMINED)
TYPE	EFFECTIVE FROM	E PERIOD TO	DAME (S.)			
			RATE(%)	LOCAT	LIONE	APPLICABLE TO
FIXED PROV.	07/01/09 (07/01/10 (11.6	(1)	All	(A)
PRED.	07/01/07	06/30/10	11.6 4.2	(1) (2)	All All	(A) (B)
PROV.	07/01/10 (06/30/11	4.2	(2)	All	(B)

84114-4003

(A) All Programs except ED Restricted Programs (B) ED Restricted Programs Only

*BASE

⁽¹⁾ Direct salaries and wages including all fringe benefits.

⁽²⁾ Total direct costs excluding capital expenditures (buildings, individual items of equipment, alterations and renovations), that portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000 and flow-through funds.

EXAMPLE – Federally Approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

NONPROFIT RATE AGREEMENT

Healthcare Consortium of Illinois 1350 East Sibley Blvd, #401 Dolton, IL 60419-2926 DATE:04/09/2014 FILING REF.: The preceding agreement was dated 03/13/2012

The rates approved in this agreement are for use on grants, contracts and other agreements with the Federal Government, subject to the conditions in Section III.

SECTION I: INDIRECT COST RATES

RATE TYPES; FIXED FINAL PROV. (PROVISIONAL) PRED. (PREDETERMINED)

EFFECTIVE PERIOD

TYPE	FROM	TO	RATE(%) LOCATION	APPLICABLE TO
FINAL	07/01/2011	06/30/2012	19.70 On Site	All Programs
FINAL.	07/01/2012	06/30/2013	16.00 On Site	All Programs
PROV.	07/01/2013	06/30/2015	16.00 On Site	All Programs

*BASE

Total direct costs excluding capital expenditures (buildings, individual items of equipment; alterations and renovations), that portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000 and flow-through funds.

EXAMPLE – Federally Approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

*BASE

Total direct costs excluding capital expenditures (buildings, individual items of equipment; alterations and renovations), that portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000 and flow-through funds

*BASE

Direct salaries and wages including all fringe benefits

*BASE

Total direct costs excluding capital expenditures (buildings, individual items of equipment; alterations and renovations), that portion of each subaward in excess of \$25,000 and flow-through funds

{**} Direct salaries and wages including vacation, holiday, sick pay and other paid absences but excluding all other fringe benefits.

EXAMPLE – Federally Approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

*BASE

Modified total direct costs, consisting of all salaries and wages, fringe benefits, materials, supplies, services, travel and subgrants and subcontracts up to the first \$25,000 of each subgrant and subcontract (regardless of the period covered by the subgrant or subcintract). Modified total direct costs shall exclude equipment, capital expenditures, charges for patient care, student tuition remission, rental costs of off-site facilities, scholarships and fellowships as well as the portion of each subgrant and subcontract in excess of \$25,000.

Once a Grantee has acquired a rate or elected to use the de minimis rate, the Grantee will multiply the rate (%) allowable by the appropriate base.

Rate x Base = Maximum amount to be reimbursed for indirect costs

Example: 15% is the approved rate

Salaries & Wages is the distribution base on which the rate was

calculated

15% x Salaries & Wages for the Program = amount to be reimbursed

Rate x Base = Maximum amount to be reimbursed for indirect costs

Example: **15%** is the approved rate

Salaries & Wages (S & W) is the distribution base on which the rate is calculated

Grantee "A" has 3 programs with the State of Illinois

Programs	15% Rate	Salaries & Wages	Reimbursement per program
Program 1	15%	\$100,000	\$15,000
Program 2	15%	\$50,000	\$7,500
Program 3	15%	\$25,000	\$3,750

Rate x Base = Maximum amount to be reimbursed for indirect costs

Example: **12%** is the approved rate

Total Direct Costs (TDC) is the distribution base on which the

rate is calculated

Grantee "A" has 3 programs with the State of Illinois

Programs	12% Rate	Total Direct Costs (TDC)	Reimbursement per program
Program 1	12%	\$200,000	\$24,000
Program 2	12%	\$150,000	\$18,000
Program 3	12%	\$70,000	\$8,400

De Minimis Rate and MDTC

Rate x Base = Maximum amount to be reimbursed for indirect costs

The de minimis rate uses 10% of Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC)

Example: **10%** is the approved rate

MTDC is the distribution base on which the rate is calculated

Before we see an example using the 10% de minimis rate, let us review what the Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC) base entails. (200.68)

NOTE: The de minimis rate can only be applied to the MTDC

Steps in calculating the MTDC

- Identify Direct and Indirect Costs
- Separate unallowable cost (both direct and indirect)
- ✓ Identify costs that must be excluded from the MTDC base
- Calculate the MTDC base



NOTE: The de minimis rate can only be applied to the MTDC base

MTDC Calculation (200.68)

INCLUDED IN THE (MTDC) base:

- Project Personnel Salaries and Wages
- Fringe Benefits
- Materials and Supplies
- Computing Devices with a per unit cost of less than \$5,000 USD
- Vendor Service Contracts/Consultant Fees
- Travel
- Subawards and Subcontracts up to \$25,000 USD (remainder is exempt from Indirect Cost Rate Calculation)

NOTE: The de minimis rate can only be applied to the MTDC base

MTDC Calculation (200.68)

COSTS TO BE EXCLUDED FROM THE (MTDC) base:

- Individual items of special purpose capital equipment with a per unit cost of \$5,000 or more
- Capital Expenditures (buildings, land, office equipment and furnishings, alterations and renovations; telephone networks, and motor vehicles)
- Rental Fees and Maintenance Costs related to rental property
- Student Tuition Remission and Student Support Costs (student aid, stipends, dependency allowances, scholarships, and fellowships)
- Participant Support Costs
- That portion of each Subcontract and Subaward exceeding \$25,000 USD (regardless of the period of performance of the Subaward and Subcontract)

Example 10% de minimis calculation

<u>Program A</u>	<u>Budget</u>
Salaries & Wages	\$100,000
Fringe Benefits	\$50,000
Travel	\$10,000
Materials & Supplies	\$20,000
Subaward (1)	\$100,000
Equipment	\$10,000
Total Direct Costs	\$290,000

NOTE: The de minimis rate can only be applied to the MTDC base

Example 10% de minimis calculation

<u>Program A</u>	<u>Budget</u>
Salaries & Wages	\$100,000
Fringe Benefits	\$50,000
Travel	\$10,000
Materials & Supplies	\$20,000
Subaward (1)	\$100,000
Equipment	\$10,000
Total Direct Costs	\$290,000
Less (Subaward over \$25,000)	(\$75,000)
Less Equipment	(\$10,000)
Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC)	\$205,000

NOTE: The de minimis rate can only be applied to the MTDC base

Example 10% de minimis calculation

<u>Program A</u>	<u>Budget</u>
Salaries & Wages	\$100,000
Fringe Benefits	\$50,000
Travel	\$10,000
Materials & Supplies	\$20,000
Subaward (1)	\$100,000
Equipment	\$10,000
Total Direct Costs	\$290,000
Less (Subaward over \$25,000)	(\$75,000)
Less Equipment	(\$10,000)
Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC)	\$205,000
10% de minimis rate of (MTDC)	\$20,500

\$20,500 is the maximum amount allowable for indirect costs for the program under the de minimis rule.

Combined approved budget should be:

Total Direct \$290,000 + Indirect \$20,500 \$310,500

De minimis rate – Subawards

	Subawardee Name	Total Amount of Subaward	Amount Spent in FY16	Multi-year Awards: FY 16 is year	Allowable as Direct Costs	Amount to
					under MTDC	Exclude
1	Subawardee A	\$26,000	\$26,000	1 of 1	\$25,000	\$1,000
2	Subawardee B	\$25,000	25,000	1 of 1	25,000	0
3	Subawardee C	\$100,000	20,000	1 of 5	20,000	0
4	Subawardee D	\$75,000	25,000	2 of 3	0	* 25,000
5	Subawardee E	\$55,000	55,000	1 of 1	25,000	30,000
6	Subawardee F	\$200,000	60,000	1 of 4	25,000	35,000
7	Subawardee G	\$15,000	15,000	1 of 1	15,000	0
8	Subawardee H	\$10,000	10,000	1 of 1	10,000	0
9	Subawardee I	\$45,000	45,000	1 of 1	25,000	20,000
10	Subawardee J	\$525,000	105,000	3 of 5	0	*105,000
		_	\$386,000		\$170,000	\$216,000

^{*} Only the first \$25,000 of each subawards is allowable (regardless of the period covered by the subawards).

De minimis rate – Subawards

Therefore the total "Subaward" amount allowable for the 10% de minimis is **\$170,000**. Now apply this to a basic budget that needs to accurately define the MDTC base in order to apply the 10 % de minimis rate.

<u>Organization</u>	Budget (FY 16)
Salaries & Wages	\$100,000
Fringe Benefits	\$50,000
Travel	\$10,000
Materials & Supplies	\$20,000
Subaward or Subcontract (10)	\$386,000
Equipment	<u>\$10,000</u>
Total Direct Costs	\$576,000
Less (Subaward or Subcontract over \$25,000)	(\$216,000)
Less (Equipment)	(\$10,000)
Modified Total Direct Cost (MTDC)	\$350,000
10% de minimis rate of (MTDC)	\$35,000



Program Information

Authorization (040):

Social Security Act, Title IV, Part B

Uses and Use Restrictions (070):

For the main Promoting Safe and Stable Families Formula Grants, a significant portion of funds (approximately 20 percent) must be spent on each of the service categories of family preservation, family support services, time-limited family reunification services and adoption promotion and support services. State grantees must limit administrative costs to 10 percent of the Federal funds.

Caseworker visit formula grants: States and territories are required to spend funds to improve the quality of monthly caseworker visits with children in foster care under the responsibility of the State, with an emphasis on improving caseworker decision making on the safety, permanency, and well-being of foster children, and on activities designed to increase retention, recruitment, and training of caseworkers.

Discretionary Grants: Grants are awarded for research, evaluation and technical assistance activities relating to family support, family preservation, time-limited family reunification and adoption promotion support. Project funds may not be used for construction.

decumy/docial del vice/vvenare

22-Federally Recognized Indian
Tribal Governments/ 48-Income
Security/Social Service/Welfare
23-U.S. Territories and possessions
(includes institutions of higher
education and hospitals)/ 48-Income
Security/Social Service/Welfare
38-Private nonprofit
institution/organization (includes
institutions of higher education and
hospitals)/ 48-Income Security/Social
Service/Welfare

Beneficiary Eligibilty:

31 - Individual/Family

HISTORY



Administrative Cost Limitations Narrative

The Head Start Act in Section 644(b) and Head Start regulation 45 CFR 1301.32 limit the amount of funds that may be charged as development and administrative costs to 15 percent of the total costs of the program. However, there are some exceptions. Grantee agencies must have a system in place, including adequate procedures, to ensure that the 15 percent administrative cost limitation requirement is met to avoid non-compliance.

Overview of Requirements Clarifying Definitions Narrative Audit Requirements Related Links Related DABs

Overview of Requirements

The **Head Start Act in Section** 644 (b) and Head Start regulation 45 CFR 1301.32 limit the amount of funds that may be charged as development and administrative costs to 15 percent of the total costs of the program unless the Director of the Office of Head Start or the ACF Regional Administrator approves in writing a waiver granting a higher percentage for a specific period of time not to exceed 12 months. These authorized officials, for example, could approve a waiver to limit development and administrative costs to 25 percent for 10 months. Development and administrative costs are herein referred to as "administrative costs."

There can be instances where a grantee agency may be at or below the 15 percent maximum limit and still have excessive administrative costs as judged by the Associate Commissioner of the Head Start Bureau or the Regional Administrator. If this occurs, the grantee agency must eliminate excessive administrative costs.

Unallowable costs must be excluded from any calculation used to determine if the grantee agency met the 15 percent administrative costs requirement.



NIFA Federal Assistance Programs Indirect Cost Guidance

Indirect costs (otherwise known as facilities and administration costs) may be limited by legislation under NIFA awards. The following chart is to assist in the understanding of the applicable indirect cost rate under NIFA programs. NIFA applicants should follow any indirect cost limitation identified in the applicable request for applications (RFA) and awardees must comply with any indirect cost limitation identified in an award.

NIFA Program	CFDA#	Legal Authority	Allowable Indirect Cost	Indirect Cost Limitation Authority
1890 Capacity Building – Teaching & Research	10.216	7 U.S.C. 3152(b)(4) (§ 1417(b)(4) of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977)	Not to exceed 30% of awarded Federal funds	For FY 2016 appropriated funds, § 713 Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113)
1890 Facilities Grant Program	10.500	7 U.S.C. 3222b (§ 1447 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977)	No indirect costs allowed	7 U.S.C. 3222b(e)) (§1447(e) of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977)
1994 Institutions (Extension Work)	10.500	7 U.S.C. 301 note (§ 3(b)(3) of the Smith-Lever Act, as added by § 534(b) of the Equity in Educational Land-Grant Status Act of 1994)	No indirect costs allowed	7 U.S.C. 3319 (§1473 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977)
AgrAbility	10.500	7 U.S.C. 5933 (§ 3(d) of the Smith-Lever Act (7 U.S.C. 343(d)); § 1680 of the Food, Agriculture, Conservation, and Trade Act of 1990)	No indirect costs allowed	7 U.S.C. 3319 (§1473 of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977)
Agriculture and Food Research Initiative (AFRI)	10.310	7 U.S.C. 450i(b) (§ 2(b) of the Competitive, Special, and Facilities Research Grant Act)	Not to exceed 30% of Federal Funds awarded. None, if awarded as an equipment, conference, or post-doc fellowship grant	For FY 2015 appropriated funds, § 713 Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113) For equipment, conference, or post-doc fellowship grants see 7 CFR part 3430, subpart G.
Agriculture Risk Management Education Program	10.500	7 U.S.C. 1524(a)(3) (§ 524(a)(3) of the Federal Crop Insurance Act)	Not to exceed 30% of Federal Funds Awarded	For FY 2016 appropriated funds, § 713 Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113)
Alaskan and Hawaiian Native- Serving Institutions	10.228	7 U.S.C. 3156 (§ 1419B of the National Agricultural Research, Extension, and Teaching Policy Act of 1977)	Not to exceed 30% of Federal Funds Awarded	For FY 2016 appropriated funds, § 713 Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2016 (Pub. L. 114-113)

What is the Guiding Principle or General Rule when it comes to statutory restrictions, or caps or limits on indirect cost reimbursement?

7

Other Limitations – Salary Caps

Other limitations restrictions include "Salary Caps"

- Salary Caps limit total amount of salaries allowed to be charged to a grant
- The Salary Cap limitations apply to both Direct and Indirect Costs
- Grant funding may <u>NOT</u> be used to pay an individual working on a grant program at a rate in excess of the Federal Executive Level II Pay Scale
- This amount typically increases annually. Beginning January 10, 2016, the salary rate limitation has increased from \$183,300 to \$185,100.
- For individuals with salary in excess of the salary cap, payroll costs must be allocated by applying amount of effort committed to the salary cap
- Please refer to the Notice of Funding Opportunity and grant application to determine if your program is subject to a "salary cap"

Other Limitations – Salary Caps Example

- A staff member whose base salary is \$200,000 receives an award where he/she has proposed 25% of total time
- Payroll expense charged cannot exceed 25% of salary cap of \$185,100 annually
- In this case, the person could not charge payroll expenses to the grant in excess of \$46,275 annually (25% effort x \$185,100). The excess salary costs must be paid from a nongrant source.
- Some grant programs do not allow any of the salary to be charged to the grant, if it exceeds the Salary Cap

Other Limitations – Salary Caps Example

Individual's institutional base salary for a FULL-TIME calendar year appointment	\$ 200,000
Research effort requested in application/proposal - 6 months (50%)	L
Direct Salary requested	\$ 100,000
Fringe benefits requested (25% of salary)	\$ 25,000
Subtotal	\$ 125,000
Applicant organization's F&A (indirect) costs at a rate of 45% of subtotal	\$ 56,250
Amount requested - salary plus fringe benefits plus associated F&A (indirect) costs	\$ 181,250
If a grant/contract is to be funded, the amount included for the above individual will be ca	lculated as follows
Direct salary - restricted to a RATE of	\$ 185,100
Divided by 12 months multiplied by 6 months (50%)	\$ 92,550
Fringe benefits (25% of allowable salary)	\$ 23,137
Subtotal	\$ 115,687
Associated F&A (indirect) costs at 45% of subtotal	\$ 52,059
Total amount to be awarded due to salary limitation	\$ 167,746
Amount of reduction due to salary limitation	I
(\$181,250 requested minus \$167,746 awarded)	\$ 13,504

COMPARATIVE COSTS BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONS

Cost Elements	Company ABC	Company XYZ
Direct Labor	\$100,000	\$100,000
Fringe Benefits	\$ 25,000	\$ 25,000
Subtotal	\$125,000	\$125,000
Travel/Per Diem	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000
Other Direct Cost	\$200,000	\$200,000
Equipment	\$ 40,000	\$ 40,000
Subcontracts	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000
Total Direct		
Program Cost	\$495,000	\$495,000
Indirect Cost		
at 75%	\$ 75,000	
at 25%		\$123,750
Total Cost	\$570,000	\$618,750
37		

Company ABC's rate applies to direct labor

Company XYZ's rate applies to total direct costs

As can be seen in this comparison, the lowest rate does not necessarily result in the lowest indirect costs applicable to an award.

Fiscal Review

Section A- Indirect Cost Information

D 1 27 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Period Covered by the NICRA: From: 1/1/16 To: 1/1/18 (mm/dd/yyyy)
Basic Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement	Approving Federal/State agency (please specify): Dept of Navy
information if Option (1) or (2a) is selected	The Indirect Cost Rate is (12.5 %) The Distribution Base is: (Salries & Wages and Fringe Benefits)

Section A- State of Illinois Funds

·		
17. Indirect Costs* (see below)	200.414	\$ 11,363
Rate: 12.5 % Base: S&W +Fringe Benefits		

Types of negotiated rates

Please refer to the Dept. of Labor Indirect Cost Determination Guide provided as a handout for more information regarding types of indirect cost rates including:

- 1. Provisional rate or billing rate
- 2. Final rate
- 3. Predetermined Rate
- 4. Fixed Rates with carry-forward
- 5. De Minimis

Do organizations electing to use the De Minimis have to certify with the State of Illinois?

Yes. A certification form will be available through the State of Illinois' Centralized Indirect Cost Rate Unit.

Where:

When:

Program Specific Questions

Indirect cost reimbursement on ED training grants is limited to the grantee's actual indirect costs as determined by the grantee's negotiated indirect cost rate agreement or 8% of a modified total direct cost base, **whichever is less**. Indirect costs in excess of the 8% limit may not be charged directly, used to satisfy matching or cost-sharing requirements, or charged to another Federal award.

Can an applicant/Subrecipient apply their indirect cost rate to the cost-sharing portion of the project budget?

Yes, unless otherwise prohibited under program legislation or regulation. However, recipients may only charge to the Federal award the indirect costs calculated against the direct costs charged to the Federal award.

Recipients may not charge to Service awards any indirect costs calculated against:

- any portion of the recipient's direct costs; or
- 2) 2) any portion of the direct costs being charged to any other Federal or non-Federal project partner.

When must recipients submit their indirect cost rate proposal?

A recipient must submit an indirect cost rate proposal:

- Immediately after being advised in writing that a Federal award will be made, and no later than 90 calendar days of the date the award is made; and then
- Within six months of the end of the recipient's fiscal year on an annual basis for the life of the Federal award.

We have had for several years a Federally Approved Cost Allocation Plan. Must we switch now to a RATE instead of a CAP? We are a Non-profit entity.

Response – No. Note that Appendix IV of 2 CFR Part 200 is "silent" on cost allocation plans (CAPs) for nonprofits. This office has approved CAPs to certain nonprofits based on the proposal submitted, specific funding, and the way they allocate costs. Those nonprofits may continue to use their Federally approved CAPs to allocate indirect costs. In the future, however, those nonprofits may consider moving into a rate methodology to allocate costs as this is typical for nonprofits and Federal funding agencies expect to see rate support from these entities.

From the Department of Labor

Can multi funded non-federal organizations charge indirect costs without an approved indirect cost rate if it instead has an approved cost allocation plan?

Response – Yes, with a Federally approved cost allocation plan. Most nonprofits, however, should be using a rate methodology to support their indirect costs.

From the Department of Labor

Indirect Cost Rate and Budget Template

Questions
OMB.GATA@illinois.gov

